

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/847,353	05/03/2001	05/03/2001 Vladimir Gorokhovsky T8466103U		6847		
26912 7	7590 09/23/2003					
GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP			EXAMINER			
	COMMERCE COURT WEST, SUITE 4900 TORONTO, ON M5L 1J3		CIRIC, LJILJANA V			
CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				TATERNOMBER		
			3743	<i>?</i>		
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	δ		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				
	•			

M

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/847,353

Applicant(s)

Vladimir Gorokhovsky

Examiner

Ljiljana V. Cirip-

Art Unit 3743

		l		17,	<u> </u>		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the	cover sheet wit	th the corre	spondence addi	ress	
	for Reply			·			
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). I date of this communication. I derive the specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with original for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will approve to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causely provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nin the statute ply and will e se the applica	ory minimum of thirty expire SIX (6) MONTH etion to become ABAN	(30) days will b S from the maili NDONED (35 U.:	e considered timely. ng date of this comm S.C. § 133).		
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 8, 2	2003				·	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This	action is	non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>		M. I. AMBREST.	is/ar	e pending in th	e application.	
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) <u>none</u>			is/a	re withdrawn f	rom consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are allowed	l.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are rejected	d.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are objecte	d to.	
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-12</u>		are subje	ct to restri	ction and/or el	ection requirement.	
Applica	ition Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/	'are a) 🗆	accepted or I	b) 🗆 object	ed to by the E	xaminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					1	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: a) 🗌	approved	b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this	Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			0 6 4 4 0 /			
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	n priority	under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).		
a)∟	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	haa haa					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			nolication	No		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority				-	Stage ·	
*S	application from the International B see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	lureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a))).	ii tilis ivational	Stage	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic prior	ity under 35 U.	S.C. § 119)(e).		
a)[\square The translation of the foreign language provisi	ional app	lication has bee	en received			
15)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic prior	ity under 35 U.	S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121.	4	
Attachm			1				
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	Interview Summary (
_							
3) 📙 tn	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) [Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/847,353 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

ړۍ

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, drawn to claims 1 through 12, in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. No claims remain withdrawn from consideration, at this time, however, since abovementioned response by applicant requested cancellation of claims 13 through 19 drawn to the non-elected Group II.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the first species or the embodiment of Figure 2; the second species or the embodiment of Figures 3a and 3b; the third species or the embodiment of Figures 4a and 4b; the fourth species or the embodiment of Figure 6; the fifth species or the embodiment of Figure 7a; the sixth species or the embodiment of Figure 7b; the seventh species or the embodiment of Figure 9; the eighth species or the embodiment of Figure 10; the ninth species or the embodiment of Figure 11; and, the tenth species or the embodiment of Figures 12 and 12a.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,353 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3925. While she works a flexible schedule that varies from day to day and from week to week, Examiner Ciric may generally be reached at the Office during the work week between the hours of 10 a.m. and 6 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett, can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone number is (703) 305-3463.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,353

Art Unit: 3743

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

lvc

September 16, 2003

LJILJANA V. CIRIC PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3743 Page 4